arXiv:1211.2872v1 [math.CA] 13 Nov 2012

INDUCTIVE TOPOLOGICAL HAUSDORFF DIMENSIONS AND FIBERS OF GENERIC CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

RICHÁRD BALKA

Abstract. In an earlier paper Z. Buczolich, M. Elekes and the author introduced a new concept of dimension for metric spaces, the so called topological Hausdorff dimension. They proved that it is precisely the right notion to describe the Hausdorff dimension of the level sets of the generic real-valued continuous function (in the sense of Baire category) defined on a compact metric space K.

The goal of this paper is to determine the Hausdorff dimension of the fibers of the generic continuous function from K to $\mathbb{R}^n$. In order to do so, we define the nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension, $\dim_{t^nH} K$. Let $\dim_H K$, $\dim_t K$ and $C_n(K)$ denote the Hausdorff and topological dimension of K and the Banach space of the continuous functions from K to $\mathbb{R}^n$. The quintessence of our main theorem is that $\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} \dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^nH} K - n$ for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$, provided that $\dim_t K \geq n$, otherwise every fiber is finite. The most interesting ingredient of the proof is that we modify and use topological methods coming from dimension theory.

We show that the supremum is actually attained on the left hand side of the above equation.

We characterize those compact metric spaces for which $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^nH} K - n$ for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ and the generic $y \in f(K)$. We also generalize a result of B. Kirchheim by showing that if K is self-similar and $\dim_t K \geq n$ then $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^nH} K - n$ for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ for every $y \in \operatorname{int} f(K)$.

1. Introduction

The Hausdorff dimension of a metric space X is denoted by $\dim_H X$, see e.g. [6] or [10]. In this paper we adopt the convention that $\dim_H \emptyset = -1$.

The following theorem is due to B. Kirchheim [9].

Theorem 1.1 (Kirchheim)**.** *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $m \geq n$. For the generic continuous function $f\colon [0,1]^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ (in the sense of Baire category) for all $y \in \operatorname{int} f([0,1]^m)$*

$$\dim_H f^{-1}(y) = m - n.$$

Z. Buczolich, M. Elekes and the author introduced in [1] a new dimension for metric spaces, the topological Hausdorff dimension. The main motivation behind this concept was to generalize Kirchheim's theorem for real-valued functions defined on arbitrary compact metric spaces. We recall first the definition of the (small inductive) topological dimension.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 28A78 Secondary: 28A80, 26B99, 54F45.

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff dimension, inductive topological Hausdorff dimensions, fibers, generic, typical continuous functions, fractals.

Supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund grant no. 72655.

Definition 1.2. Set $\dim_t \emptyset = -1$. The *topological dimension* of a non-empty metric space X is defined by induction as

$$\dim_t X = \inf\{d : X \text{ has a basis } \mathcal{U} \text{ s. t. } \dim_t \partial U \leq d-1 \text{ for every } U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

For more information on this concept see [4] or [7]. The topological Hausdorff dimension (introduced in [1]) is defined analogously to the topological dimension. However, it is not inductive, and it can attain non-integer values as well.

Definition 1.3. Set $\dim_{tH} \emptyset = -1$. The *topological Hausdorff dimension* of a non-empty metric space X is defined as

$$\dim_{tH} X = \inf\{d : X \text{ has a basis } \mathcal{U} \text{ s. t. } \dim_H \partial U \leq d-1 \text{ for every } U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Both notions of dimension can attain the value ∞ as well. For more information on topological Hausdorff dimension see [1], here we mention only the results concerning level sets of generic continuous functions.

Let K be a compact metric space, n be a positive integer and let $C_n(K)$ denote the space of continuous functions from K to $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the supremum norm. Since this is a complete metric space, we can use Baire category arguments.

If $\dim_t K = 0$ then the generic $f \in C_1(K)$ is well-known to be one-to-one, so every non-empty level set is a singleton.

Assume $\dim_t K > 0$. The following results from [1] show the connection between the topological Hausdorff dimension and the level sets of the generic $f \in C_1(K)$.

Theorem 1.4 (Balka, Buczolich, Elekes)**.** *If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K > 0$ then for the generic $f \in C_1(K)$*

(i) $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \leq \dim_{tH} K - 1$ *for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$,*

(ii) for every $d < \dim_{tH} K$ there exists a non-degenerate interval $I_{f,d}$ such that $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \geq d - 1$ for every $y \in I_{f,d}$.

Corollary 1.5. *If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K > 0$ then for the generic $f \in C_1(K)$*

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}} \dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{tH} K - 1.$$

The following definition is due to U. B. Darji and M. Elekes [3].

Definition 1.6. Let $\dim_{t^nH} \emptyset = -1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a non-empty metric space X set $\dim_{t^0H} X = \dim_H X$. The *nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension* is defined inductively as

$$\dim_{t^nH} X = \inf\left\{d : X \text{ has a basis } \mathcal{U} \text{ s. t. } \dim_{t^{n-1}H} \partial U \leq d-1 \text{ for every } U \in \mathcal{U}\right\}.$$

The goal of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1.4 to higher dimensions, which can be viewed as an application of the inductive topological Hausdorff dimensions.

If $\dim_t K < n$ then the fibers of the generic map $f \in C_n(K)$ are finite, see Theorem 4.1 below.

Suppose $\dim_t K \geq n$. The main theorem of the paper is the following.

Theorem 4.24 (Main Theorem)**.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume that K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K \geq n$. Then there exists a G_δ set $G \subseteq K$ with $\dim_H G = \dim_{t^nH} K - n$ such that for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$

(i) $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \leq \dim_{t^nH} K - n$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, $\#(f^{-1}(y) \setminus G) \leq n$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(ii) for every $d < \dim_{t^n H} K$ there exists a non-empty open ball $U_{f,d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \geq d - n$ for every $y \in U_{f,d}$.

Corollary 4.25. If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K \geq n$ then for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^n H} K - n.$$

In the Preliminaries section we introduce some notation and definitions.

In Section 3 we prove the basic properties of the inductive topological Hausdorff dimensions.

In Section 4 we prove the Main Theorem. We need a lot of new ideas, maybe the most important is that we can modify purely topological methods and apply them. The ideas behind Definition 4.3 and 4.4 and the basis of the proofs of Subsection 4.2 come from the classical dimension theory.

In Section 5 we made the Main Theorem more precise. We show that in Corollary 4.25 the supremum is attained, and if K is also sufficiently homogeneous, for example self-similar, then we can actually say more.

Finally, in Section 6 we list the open questions.

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $A, B \subseteq X$ be arbitrary sets. We denote by $\operatorname{cl} A$, $\operatorname{int} A$ and ∂A the closure, interior and boundary of A, respectively. The diameter of A is denoted by $\operatorname{diam} A$. We use the convention $\operatorname{diam} \emptyset = 0$. The distance of the sets A and B is defined by $\operatorname{dist}(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A,\ y \in B\}$. Let $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$, $U(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$ and $B(A, r) = \{x \in X : \operatorname{dist}(B, \{x\}) \leq r\}$.

For two metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) a function $f\colon X \to Y$ is *Lipschitz* if there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq C \cdot d_X(x_1, x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. A function $f\colon X \to Y$ is called *bi-Lipschitz* if f is a bijection and both f and f^{-1} are Lipschitz.

Let X be a metric space, $s \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{H}^s_\infty(X) = \inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (\operatorname{diam} U_i)^s : X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty U_i\right\}.$$

The *Hausdorff dimension of* X is defined as

$$\dim_H X = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \mathcal{H}^s_\infty(X) = 0\},$$

we adopt the convention that $\dim_H \emptyset = -1$ throughout the paper. For more information on these concepts see [6] or [10]. The following fact is an easy consequence of the definition.

Fact 2.1. *If X is a metric space and $0 \leq s \leq t$ then $\mathcal{H}^s_\infty(X) \leq 1$ implies $\mathcal{H}^t_\infty(X) \leq \mathcal{H}^s_\infty(X)$.*

The function $h\colon [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is defined to be a *gauge function* if it is non-decreasing, right-continuous, and $h(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the set of gauge functions. For all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ let us define

$$\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(X) = \inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^\infty h(\operatorname{diam} U_i) : X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty U_i\right\}.$$

A partial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$g \prec h \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lim_{t\to 0+} h(t)/g(t) = 0.$$

Let us consider for all $s \in [0,\infty)$

$$\mathcal{H}_s = \left\{h \in \mathcal{H} : \forall \varepsilon > 0,\, h(t) \prec t^{s+\varepsilon}\right\}.$$

For more information see [12]. The following theorem is [13, Lemma 3.].

Theorem 2.2 (Rogers)**.** *Let X be a σ-compact metric space and let $\{h_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ be gauge functions such that $h_{i+1} \prec h_i$ and $\mathcal{H}^{h_i}_\infty(X) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then there exists a gauge function h such that $h \prec h_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(X) = 0$.*

Remark 2.3. In fact, [13, Lemma 3.] uses the measure $\mathcal{H}^h$ instead of the premeasure $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty$, but it makes no difference because $\mathcal{H}^h(X) = 0$ iff $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(X) = 0$.

Let X be a *complete* metric space. A set is *somewhere dense* if it is dense in a non-empty open set, and otherwise it is called *nowhere dense.* We say that $M \subseteq X$ is *meager* if it is a countable union of nowhere dense sets, and a set is of *second category* if it is not meager. A set is called *co-meager* if its complement is meager. By Baire's Category Theorem co-meager sets are dense. It is not difficult to show that a set is co-meager iff it contains a dense G_δ set. We say that the *generic* element $x \in X$ has property $\mathcal{P}$ if $\{x \in X : x \text{ has property } \mathcal{P}\}$ is co-meager.

Let X be a metric space and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of subsets of X. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a *cover* (of X) if $\bigcup \mathcal{A} = X$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *open (closed)* if A_i is open (closed) in X for all $i \in I$. The cover $\mathcal{B}$ is a *refinement* of the cover $\mathcal{A}$ if for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there is an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $B \subseteq A$. The cover $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a *shrinking* of the cover $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i\}_{i\in I}$ if $B_i \subseteq A_i$ for all $i \in I$. Let $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{A} = \sup\{\operatorname{diam} A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. The following theorem is [5, 4.3.31. Thm.].

Theorem 2.4 (Lebesgue Covering Lemma)**.** *If $\mathcal{U}$ is an open cover of a compact metric space K then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $A \subseteq X$ with $\operatorname{diam} A \le \varepsilon$ then $A \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$.*

The following theorem is [4, 1.7.8. Thm.].

Theorem 2.5. *Every finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of a normal space X has a closed shrinking $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$.*

If X is a metric space and A, B are disjoint subsets of X then we say that $L \subseteq X$ is a *partition between A and B* if there are open sets U, V such that $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$ and $L = K \setminus (U \cup V)$. The following lemma is [4, 1.2.11. Lemma].

Lemma 2.6. *If X is an arbitrary metric space and $Z \subseteq X$ is separable with $\dim_t Z = 0$, then for every pair A, B of disjoint closed subsets of X there exists a partition L between A and B such that $L \cap Z = \emptyset$.*

3. THE INDUCTIVE TOPOLOGICAL HAUSDORFF DIMENSIONS AND THEIR BASIC PROPERTIES

This section contains some basic properties of the inductive topological Hausdorff dimensions. Although most of the proofs are easy inductional arguments, these statements will be important in the following sections.

First we compare the inductive topological Hausdorff dimensions with the topological dimension and the Hausdorff dimension. The next theorem is [1, Thm. 3.4.].

Theorem 3.1. *For every metric space X*

$$\dim_t X \leq \dim_{tH} X \leq \dim_H X.$$

Fact 3.2. *If X is a metric space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$\dim_{t^{n+1}H} X \leq \dim_{t^n H} X.$$

Proof. If $n = 0$ then this follows from Theorem 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume by induction that $\dim_{t^n H} X \leq \dim_{t^{n-1}H} X$ for all X. Then the definitions of $\dim_{t^{n+1}H}$ and $\dim_{t^n H}$ yield $\dim_{t^{n+1}H} X \leq \dim_{t^n H} X$. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *If X is a metric space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$\dim_t X \leq \dim_{t^n H} X \leq \dim_H X.$$

Proof. If $n = 0$ then this is Theorem 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume by induction that the inequality holds for $n - 1$. On the one hand, the inductional hypothesis and Fact 3.2 imply $\dim_{t^n H} X \leq \dim_{t^{n-1}H} X \leq \dim_H X$. On the other hand, the assumption $\dim_t X \leq \dim_{t^{n-1}} X$ and the definitions of $\dim_t$ and $\dim_{t^n H}$ yield $\dim_t X \leq \dim_{t^n H} X$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 (Extension of the classical dimension)**.** *The nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension of a countable set equals zero, and for open subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and for smooth d-dimensional manifolds this dimension equals d.*

Fact 3.5. *If X is a metric space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$\dim_t X < n \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \dim_{t^n H} X = \dim_t X.$$

Proof. For $n = 0$ the statement is obvious. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume by induction that the implication holds for $n-1$. Let $\dim_t X < n$. As $\dim_t X \leq \dim_{t^n H} X$ follows from Theorem 3.3, it is enough to prove $\dim_{t^n H} X \leq \dim_t X$. The definition of the topological dimension yields that X has a basis $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\dim_t \partial U \leq \dim_t X - 1 < n - 1$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence the inductional hypothesis implies that $\dim_t \partial U = \dim_{t^{n-1}H} \partial U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus the basis $\mathcal{U}$ witnesses $\dim_{t^n H} X \leq \dim_t X$. $\square$

Theorem 3.6 (Monotonicity)**.** *If $X \subseteq Y$ are metric spaces then $\dim_{t^n H} X \leq \dim_{t^n H} Y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. If $n = 0$ then we are done. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume by induction that monotonicity holds for $\dim_{t^{n-1}H}$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a basis in Y then $\mathcal{U}_X = \{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a basis in X, and $\partial_X(U \cap X) \subseteq \partial_Y U$ holds for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence the monotonicity of $\dim_{t^{n-1}H}$ implies $\dim_{t^n H} X \leq \dim_{t^n H} Y$. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Let X, Y be metric spaces and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f\colon X \to Y$ is a Lipschitz homeomorphism then $\dim_{t^n H} Y \leq \dim_{t^n H} X$.*

Proof. If $n = 0$ then we are done. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume by induction that the statement holds for $n - 1$. Since f is a homeomorphism, if $\mathcal{U}$ is a basis in X then $\mathcal{V} = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a basis in Y, and $\partial f(U) = f(\partial U)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. As $f|_{\partial U}$ is also a Lipschitz homeomorphism, the inductive hypothesis implies that $\dim_{t^{n-1}H} \partial V = \dim_{t^{n-1}H} \partial f(U) = \dim_{t^{n-1}H} f(\partial U) \leq \dim_{t^{n-1}H} \partial U$ for all $V = f(U) \in \mathcal{V}$. Thus $\dim_{t^n H} Y \leq \dim_{t^n H} X$. $\square$

Corollary 3.8 (Bi-Lipschitz invariance)**.** *Let X, Y be metric spaces and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f\colon X \to Y$ is bi-Lipschitz then $\dim_{t^n H} X = \dim_{t^n H} Y$.*

The proof of the following theorem is essentially the same as the proof of [1, Thm. 3.16.], which is its special case when $n = 1$. For the sake of completeness we repeat these arguments here.

Theorem 3.9 (Countable stability for closed sets)**.** *Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X is a separable metric space with $X = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} X_m$, where X_m $(m \in \mathbb{N})$ are closed subsets of X. Then*

$$\dim_{t^nH} X = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \dim_{t^nH} X_m.$$

Proof. If $n = 0$ then we are done. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume by induction that the statement holds for $n - 1$, we need to prove it for n.

Monotonicity clearly implies $\dim_{t^nH} X \geq \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \dim_{t^nH} X_m$. For the other direction we may assume $\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \dim_{t^nH} X_m < \infty$. Let $d > \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \dim_{t^nH} X_m$ be arbitrary. Let $\mathcal{U}_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a countable basis of X_m such that $\dim_{t^{n-1}H} \partial_{X_m} U \leq d - 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_m$.

Let $Y = \bigcup\{\partial_{X_m} U : m \in \mathbb{N}, U \in \mathcal{U}_m\}$. The countable stability of the $n - 1$st inductive topological Hausdorff dimension for closed sets implies $\dim_{t^{n-1}H} Y \leq d-1$. Using the definition of the topological dimension we obtain $\dim_t(X_m \setminus Y) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $X_m \setminus Y$ is closed in the separable metric space $X \setminus Y$, and $X \setminus Y = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}(X_m \setminus Y)$. The countable stability of the topological dimension for closed sets [4, 1.3.1] yields $\dim_t(X \setminus Y) = 0$.

Let us fix an open set $V \subseteq X$ and a point $x \in V$. As $X\setminus Y$ is a separable subspace of X with $\dim_t(X \setminus Y) = 0$, Lemma 2.6 yields that there is a partition L between $\{x\}$ and $X \setminus V$ with $L \cap (X \setminus Y) = \emptyset$. Hence $L \subseteq Y$. By the definition of L there exist disjoint open sets $U, U' \subseteq X$ such that $x \in U$, $X \setminus V \subseteq U'$ and $U \cup U' = X \setminus L$. In particular, $x \in U \subseteq V$. Moreover, $\partial_X U \subseteq L \subseteq Y$, thus $\dim_{t^{n-1}H} \partial_X U \leq \dim_{t^{n-1}H} Y \leq d - 1$. By the definition of the nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension we obtain $\dim_{t^nH} X \leq d$. As $d > \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \dim_{t^nH} X_m$ was arbitrary, the proof is complete. □

4. THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF FIBERS OF GENERIC CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.24, that is our Main Theorem. In order to do so we need a sequence of equivalent definitions of the nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension. These equivalent definitions may be of some interest in their own right.

Let us fix a compact metric space K and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Let $C_n(K)$ denote the space of continuous functions from K to $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the supremum norm. Since this is a complete metric space, we can use Baire category arguments.

Let us first note that the case $\dim_t K < n$ is trivial because of the following theorem of W. Hurewicz, see [11, p. 124.] for a more general statement.

Theorem 4.1 (Hurewicz)**.** *If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K < n$ then for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\#f^{-1}(y) \leq n$.*

Corollary 4.2. *If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K < n$ then every non-empty level set of the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ is of Hausdorff dimension 0.*

If we do not state otherwise, we assume $\dim_t K \geq n$.

4.1. **Equivalent definitions.**

Definition 4.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and set $\dim_{s^n H} \emptyset = -1$. For a compact metric space K let us denote by $P_{s^n H}$ the set of $d \geq n$ such that if $(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_n, B_n)$ are pairs of disjoint closed subsets of K then there exist partitions L_i between A_i and B_i such that $\dim_H \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i\right) \leq d - n$. Let

$$\dim_{s^n H} K = \begin{cases} \inf P_{s^n H} & \text{if } \dim_t K \geq n, \\ \dim_t K & \text{if } \dim_t K < n. \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.4. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a family of sets and $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ then let $T_m(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of points covered by at least m members of $\mathcal{A}$, that is,

$$T_m(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{A},\ A_i \neq A_j} (A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m)$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and set $\dim_{c^n H} \emptyset = -1$. For a compact metric space K let us define $P_{c^n H}$ as the set of $d \geq n$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of K there is a finite open refinement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{d-n+\varepsilon}_\infty(T_{n+1}(\mathcal{V})) \leq \varepsilon$. Let

$$\dim_{c^n H} K = \begin{cases} \inf P_{c^n H} & \text{if } \dim_t K \geq n, \\ \dim_t K & \text{if } \dim_t K < n. \end{cases}$$

We repeat the definition of the nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension in an analogous form, where $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Definition 4.5. Let

$$P_{t^n H} = \left\{d : K \text{ has a basis } \mathcal{U} \text{ s. t. } \dim_{t^{n-1} H} \partial U \leq d - 1 \text{ for every } U \in \mathcal{U}\right\},$$

then $\dim_{t^n H} K = \inf P_{t^n H}$.

Definition 4.6. For a gauge function $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we say that $(U, \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n)$ is a $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty$ *pre-measure fat packing for* $\varepsilon > 0$ if

(i) $U \subseteq K$ is open and $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(U) \leq \varepsilon$;
(ii) For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the family $\mathcal{C}_i$ consists of disjoint compact subsets of K such that $\operatorname{diam} C \leq \varepsilon$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}_i$;
(iii) $K = U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_i\right)$.

Let us define

$$P_{m^n} = \left\{d \geq n : \exists h \in \mathcal{H}_{d-n},\ \forall \varepsilon > 0,\ \exists \mathcal{H}^h_\infty \text{ pre-measure fat packing for } \varepsilon\right\},$$

and let $\dim_{m^n} K = \inf P_{m^n}$.

Definition 4.7. Define P_{l^n} as the set of $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that there exists a G_δ set $G \subseteq K$ with $\dim_H G \leq d - n$ such that for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\#(f^{-1}(y) \setminus G) \leq n$. Let $\dim_{l^n} K = \inf P_{l^n}$.

Definition 4.8. We say that a continuous function $f \colon K \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *d-level narrow*, if there exists a dense set $S_f \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \leq d - n$ for every $y \in S_f$. Let $\mathcal{N}_n(d)$ be the set of d-level narrow functions. Define

$$P_{w^n} = \left\{d : \mathcal{N}_n(d) \text{ is somewhere dense in } C_n(K)\right\},$$

and let $\dim_{w^n} K = \inf P_{w^n}$.

We assume that by definition $\infty \in P_{s^n H}, P_{c^n H}, P_{t^n H}, P_{l^n}, P_{m^n}, P_{w^n}$.

4.2. **The first three definitions coincide.** In this subsection we prove Theorem 4.13 based on techniques coming from dimension theory.

The following lemma helps us to work with Definition 4.4.

Lemma 4.9. *Let K be a non-empty compact metric space, $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $s \geq 0$. Then the following properties are equivalent.*

- *(i) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of K there is a finite open refinement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(T_m(\mathcal{V})) \leq \varepsilon$.*
- *(ii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of K there is an open shrinking $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(T_m(\mathcal{V})) \leq \varepsilon$.*
- *(iii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and m-element open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ of K there is an open shrinking $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_m) \leq \varepsilon$.*
- *(iv) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite open cover $\mathcal{V}$ of K such that* $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{V} \leq \varepsilon$ *and $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(T_m(\mathcal{V})) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$: Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$ be a finite open cover of K, we need to find an open shrinking $\mathcal{V}'$ of $\mathcal{U}$ with $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(T_m(\mathcal{V}')) \leq \varepsilon$. By (i) the cover $\mathcal{U}$ has a finite open refinement $\mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(T_m(\mathcal{V})) \leq \varepsilon$. For every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ let us choose $i(V) \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $V \subseteq U_{i(V)}$. Let $V'_i = \bigcup \{V \in \mathcal{V} : i(V) = i\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and set $\mathcal{V}' = \{V'_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$. Then $\mathcal{V}'$ is an open shrinking of $\mathcal{U}$ with $T_m(\mathcal{V}') \subseteq T_m(\mathcal{V})$, so $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(T_m(\mathcal{V}')) \leq \varepsilon$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ and $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ are trivial.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq m$ be arbitrarily fixed. We need to prove that for every finite cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$ of K there is an open shrinking $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ with $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(T_m(\mathcal{V})) \leq \varepsilon$.

First we prove that there is an open shrinking $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(W_1 \cap \cdots \cap W_m) \leq \delta$, where $\delta = \varepsilon / \binom{k}{m}$. Let us define $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ such that $U'_i = U_i$ if $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ and $U'_m = \bigcup_{i=m}^{k} U_i$. Then (iii) yields that there is an open shrinking $\mathcal{W}' = \{W'_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ of $\mathcal{U}'$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{s+\delta}_{\infty}(W'_1 \cap \cdots \cap W'_m) \leq \delta. \tag{4.1}$$

Let us define $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$ such that $W_i = W'_i$ if $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ and $W_i = W'_m \cap U_i$ if $i \in \{m, \dots, k\}$. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} W_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} W'_i = K$, so $\mathcal{W}$ is an open shrinking of $\mathcal{U}$. Then Fact 2.1 with $\delta < \varepsilon < 1$, the definition of $\mathcal{W}$ and (4.1) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(W_1 \cap \cdots \cap W_m) &\leq \mathcal{H}^{s+\delta}_{\infty}(W_1 \cap \cdots \cap W_m) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}^{s+\delta}_{\infty}(W'_1 \cap \cdots \cap W'_m) \leq \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Now the iteration of the above statement yields the required $\mathcal{V}$. More precisely, let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the set of m-element subsets of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and let $n = \binom{k}{m}$. Consider a bijection $\phi \colon \{1, \dots, n\} \to \mathcal{N}$. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ let $\phi(j, l)$ be the lth element of $\phi(j)$ (according to the natural ordering). Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$ be a fixed finite open cover of K, and set $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}$. We define for all $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}_j$ of K such that $\mathcal{U}_{j+1}$ is a shrinking of $\mathcal{U}_j$ for all $j < n$. Assume by induction that the open cover $\mathcal{U}_j = \{U_{j,i}\}_{i=1}^{k}$ of K is already defined for some $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Applying the above statement for a rearranged copy of $\mathcal{U}_j$ we obtain that there is an open shrinking $\mathcal{U}_{j+1} = \{U_{j+1,i}\}_{i=1}^{k}$ of $\mathcal{U}_j$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_{\infty}\left(\bigcap_{l=1}^{m} U_{j+1,\phi(j+1,l)}\right) \leq \delta$. As $\mathcal{U}_n$ is a shrinking of $\mathcal{U}_j$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, the definition of $\mathcal{U}_j$ and the subadditivity of $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_\infty$ yield

$$\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_\infty(T_m(\mathcal{U}_n)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_\infty \left(\bigcap_{l=1}^{m} U_{j,\phi(j,l)} \right) \leq n\delta = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}_n$ is an open shrinking of $\mathcal{U}$ that fulfills the required property, so (ii) holds.

$(i) \Rightarrow (iv)$: If $\varepsilon > 0$ is given then let us choose a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of K with $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{U} \leq \varepsilon$. Then (i) yields that there is a finite open refinement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ with $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_\infty(T_m(\mathcal{V})) \leq \varepsilon$, and clearly $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{V} \leq \operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{U} \leq \varepsilon$.

$(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$: Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a finite open cover of K. The Lebesgue Covering Lemma implies that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $A \subseteq K$ with $\operatorname{diam} A \leq \varepsilon$ then there is an $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $A \subseteq U$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite open cover of K with $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{V} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}_\infty(T_m(\mathcal{V})) \leq \varepsilon$, then $\mathcal{V}$ automatically refines $\mathcal{U}$. □

Lemma 4.10. $P_{s^n H} \subseteq P_{c^n H}$.

Proof. Assume $d \in P_{s^n H}$ and $d < \infty$, we need to prove $d \in P_{c^n H}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ be an $(n+1)$-element open cover of K. By Lemma 4.9 it is enough to find an open shrinking $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{d-n+\varepsilon}_\infty(V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_{n+1}) \leq \varepsilon$. By Theorem 2.5 the finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ has a closed shrinking $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$. For all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let us define $B_i = K \setminus U_i$. The sequence $(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_n, B_n)$ consists of n pairs of disjoint closed subsets of K. Thus $d \in P_{s^n H}$ yields that there exist partitions L_i between A_i and B_i such that $\dim_H \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i\right) \leq d - n$. For all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ consider open sets $V_i, W_i \subseteq K$ such that

$$A_i \subseteq V_i, \quad B_i \subseteq W_i, \quad V_i \cap W_i = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad K \setminus L_i = V_i \cup W_i. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $L = \bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i$ and let us choose an open set $U \subseteq K$ such that $L \subseteq U$ and $\mathcal{H}^{d-n+\varepsilon}_\infty(U) \leq \varepsilon$. Let us consider $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$, where

$$V_{n+1} = U_{n+1} \cap \left(U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} W_i \right). \tag{4.3}$$

Then $V_{n+1} \subseteq U_{n+1}$ and (4.2) yields $V_i \subseteq K \setminus B_i = U_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence $\mathcal{V}$ is a shrinking of $\mathcal{U}$. Now we prove that $\mathcal{V}$ covers K. Equation (4.2) and $A_{n+1} \subseteq U_{n+1}$ imply

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_i \right) \cup U_{n+1} \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i = K. \tag{4.4}$$

Equation (4.2) and $L \subseteq U$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_i \right) \cup \left(U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} W_i \right) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (V_i \cup W_i) \cup U \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (K \setminus L_i) \cup U \\ &= (K \setminus L) \cup U = K. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Now (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) imply $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} V_i = K$, so $\mathcal{V}$ is a cover of K.

Finally, applying (4.3) and $V_i \cap W_i = \emptyset$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} V_i \subseteq & \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_i \cap \left(U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} W_i \right) \\ \subseteq & \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_i \cap U \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_i \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} W_i \right) \\ \subseteq & U \cup \emptyset = U.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-n+\varepsilon}_{\infty} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} V_i \right) \leq \mathcal{H}^{d-n+\varepsilon}_{\infty}(U) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.11. $P_{c^n H} \subseteq P_{t^n H}$.

Proof. Assume by induction that $P_{c^{n-1} H} \subseteq P_{t^{n-1} H}$ if $n > 1$. Let $d \in P_{c^n H}$ and $d < \infty$. We need to prove $d \in P_{t^n H}$, that is, for a given $x \in K$ and open set U with $x \in U$ it is sufficient to construct an open set $V \subseteq U$ such that $\dim_{t^{n-1} H} \partial V \leq d-1$. Let $B_0 = K \setminus U$, we need to construct a partition $L \subseteq K$ between $\{x\}$ and B_0 such that $\dim_{t^{n-1} H} L \leq d-1$. Let $A_0 = \{x\}$, we define sequences of compact sets $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$

(i) $A_{i-1} \subseteq \operatorname{int} A_i$, $B_{i-1} \subseteq \operatorname{int} B_i$ and $A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$;
(ii) The set $L_i = K \setminus (A_i \cup B_i)$ has a finite open cover $\mathcal{W}_i$ such that $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{W}_i < 1/i$ and $\mathcal{H}^{d-n+1/i}_{\infty}(T_n(\mathcal{W}_i)) \leq 1/i$.

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume by induction that A_j, B_j are already defined for all $j < i$ such that (i) and (ii) hold for all $0 < j < i$. Property (i) implies that A_{i-1}, B_{i-1} are disjoint compact sets, so $\operatorname{dist}(A_{i-1}, B_{i-1}) > 0$. Lemma 4.9 and $d \in P_{c^n H}$ imply that there exists a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}_i$ of K such that $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{U}_i < \min\{1/i, \operatorname{dist}(A_{i-1}, B_{i-1})\}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-n+1/i}_{\infty}(T_{n+1}(\mathcal{U}_i)) \leq 1/i. \tag{4.6}$$

Let us define $A_i = K \setminus H_i$ and $B_i = K \setminus G_i$, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_i = & \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U}_i : \operatorname{cl}(U) \cap B_{i-1} = \emptyset\}, \\ H_i = & \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U}_i : \operatorname{cl}(U) \cap B_{i-1} \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

The closure of no member of $\mathcal{U}_i$ meets both A_{i-1} and B_{i-1}, so the definitions of G_i and H_i imply $\operatorname{cl}(G_i) \cap B_{i-1} = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{cl}(H_i) \cap A_{i-1} = \emptyset$. Thus $A_{i-1} \subseteq K \setminus \operatorname{cl} H_i = \operatorname{int} A_i$ and $B_{i-1} \subseteq K \setminus \operatorname{cl} G_i = \operatorname{int} B_i$, and $G_i \cup H_i = K$ yields $A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$. Hence property (i) holds for i. Let $L_i = K \setminus (A_i \cup B_i) = G_i \cap H_i$ and consider

$$\mathcal{W}_i = \{U \cap L_i : U \in \mathcal{U}_i,\ \operatorname{cl}(U) \cap B_{i-1} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_i$ is an open cover of L_i, and $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{U}_i < 1/i$ implies $\operatorname{mesh} \mathcal{W}_i < 1/i$. As $L_i = G_i \cap H_i$, the definition of $\mathcal{W}_i$ yields $T_n(\mathcal{W}_i) \subseteq T_{n+1}(\mathcal{U}_i)$. Therefore (4.6) implies (ii) for i. By (i) the sets $A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ and $B = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i$ are open, disjoint, and contain $\{x\}$ and B_0, respectively. Let us define $L = K \setminus (A \cup B) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i$, that is a partition between $\{x\}$ and B_0. If $n = 1$ then (ii) yields that $\dim_{t^0 H} L = \dim_H L \leq d-1$, and we are done. Finally, if $n > 1$ then (ii) with $L \subseteq L_i$ and Lemma 4.9 imply

$d-1 \in P_{c^{n-1}H}(L)$. Then the inductional hypothesis yields $d-1 \in P_{t^{n-1}H}(L)$, so $\dim_{t^{n-1}H} L \leq d-1$. This concludes the proof. ☐

Lemma 4.12. $P_{t^n H} \subseteq P_{s^n H}$.

Proof. Assume $d \in P_{t^n H}$ and $d < \infty$. Let $(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_n, B_n)$ be pairs of disjoint closed subsets of K. Let $(A_0, B_0) = (\emptyset, \emptyset)$ and $L_0 = K$, we prove that there are partitions L_i between A_i and B_i for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\dim_{t^{n-i}H} \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{i} L_j \right) \leq d - i. \tag{4.7}$$

As $d \in P_{t^n H}$, we obtain (4.7) for $i = 0$. Assume by induction that $L_0, \dots, L_{m-1}$ are already defined for some $m \leq n$ such that (4.7) holds for all $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. We need to define L_m satisfying (4.7) for $i = m$. Let $K_m = \bigcap_{j=0}^{m-1} L_j$, then applying (4.7) for $i = m-1$ yields $\dim_{t^{n-m+1}} K_m \leq d - m + 1$. Therefore K_m has a countable basis $\mathcal{U}_m$ such that $\dim_{t^{n-m}H} \partial_{K_m} U \leq d - m$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}_m$. Let $Y_m = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_m} \partial_{K_m} U$ and $Z_m = K_m \setminus Y_m$. The countable stability of the $n-m$th inductive topological Hausdorff dimension for closed sets yields $\dim_{t^{n-m}H} Y_m \leq d - m$, and clearly $\dim_t Z_m = 0$. Applying Lemma 2.6 for $X = K$, $Z = Z_m$, $A = A_m$ and $B = B_m$ we obtain that there is a partition $L_m \subseteq K$ between A_m and B_m such that $L_m \cap Z_m = \emptyset$, that is, $L_m \cap K_m \subseteq Y_m$. Hence

$$\dim_{t^{n-m}H} \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{m} L_j \right) \leq \dim_{t^{n-m}H} Y_m \leq d - m,$$

thus equation (4.7) holds. Substituting $i = n$ to (4.7) and using $L_0 = K$ we obtain

$$\dim_H \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} L_j \right) \leq d - n,$$

so $d \in P_{s^n H}$. The proof is complete. ☐

The above lemmas yield the following theorem.

Theorem 4.13. *If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K \geq n$ then*

$$P_{s^n H} = P_{c^n H} = P_{t^n H}.$$

The above theorem and Fact 3.5 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 4.14. *For every compact metric space K and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$*

$$\dim_{s^n H} K = \dim_{c^n H} K = \dim_{t^n H} K.$$

Lemma 4.15. *Let K be a compact metric space such that $\dim_t K \geq n$. Then $\dim_{s^n H} K = \min P_{s^n H}$, $\dim_{c^n H} K = \min P_{c^n H}$, $\dim_{t^n H} K = \min P_{t^n H}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.13 it is enough to prove that $P_{c^n H}$ has a minimal element. Let $d = \inf P_{c^n H}$, we may assume $d < \infty$. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ let $d_i = d + 1/(2i) \in P_{c^n H}$ and let $\mathcal{U}$ be an arbitrary finite open cover of K. Then $d_i \in P_{c^n H}$ implies that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there is an open refinement $\mathcal{U}_i$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^{d-n+1/i}(T_{n+1}(\mathcal{U}_i)) = \mathcal{H}_\infty^{d_i-n+1/(2i)}(T_{n+1}(\mathcal{U}_i)) \leq 1/(2i) < 1/i.$$

Therefore $d \in P_{c^n H}$. ☐

4.3. **The end of the proof of the Main Theorem.** We continue to prove the equivalence of our definitions.

Lemma 4.16. $P_{t^n H} \subseteq P_{m^n}$.

Proof. Assume $d \in P_{t^n H}$ and $d < \infty$. For $d \in P_{m^n}$ we need to show that there exists a gauge function $h \in \mathcal{H}_{d-n}$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there is a $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty$ pre-measure fat packing for $1/N$. Let us fix $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Let $K_1 = K$, and note $\dim_{t^n H} K \leq d$. Now we define by induction compact sets $K = K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq K_{n+1}$ and for all $i \in \{1, \dots n\}$ we define $\mathcal{A}_i$ as finite family of compact subsets of K_i. Assume that the compact set K_i are already defined for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\dim_{t^{n+1-i} H} K_i \leq d + 1 - i. \tag{4.8}$$

We will define $\mathcal{A}_i$ and K_{i+1} such that $\dim_{t^{n-i} H} K_{i+1} \leq d - i$. Equation (4.8) implies that there is a basis $\mathcal{U}_i$ of K_i such that $\dim_{t^{n-i} H} \partial_{K_i} U \leq d - i$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}_i$. Then $\{U \in \mathcal{U}_i : \operatorname{diam} U \leq 1/(2N)\}$ covers K_i, hence by compactness there exists a finite subcover $\{U_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{k_i}$. Then $\operatorname{diam} U_{i,j} \leq 1/(2N)$ and for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k_i\}$

$$\dim_{t^{n-i} H} \partial_{K_i} U_{i,j} \leq d - i. \tag{4.9}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_i = \{A_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{m_i}$ be the set of the 2^{k_i} possible sets of the form $\bigcap_{j=1}^{k_i} U_{i,j}^{\alpha(i,j)}$, where every $\alpha(i,j) \in \{1, -1\}$, and $U_{i,j}^1 = \operatorname{cl} U_{i,j}$, and $U_{i,j}^{-1} = K_i \setminus U_{i,j}$. Clearly, $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_i = K_i$ and $\operatorname{diam} A_{i,j} \leq 1/(2N)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m_i\}$. Set

$$K_{i+1} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_i} \partial_{K_i} U_{i,j},$$

so the countable stability of $\dim_{t^{n-i} H}$ for closed sets and (4.9) imply

$$\dim_{t^{n-i} H} K_{i+1} \leq d - i,$$

so (4.8) holds for $i + 1$. Thus the sets K_i are defined for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ satisfying (4.8), and the finite families $\mathcal{A}_i$ are defined for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Applying (4.8) for $i = n + 1$ implies $\dim_H K_{n+1} \leq d - n$.

If $K_{n+1}(N)$ is constructed for every $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$, set $K_{n+1}(\infty) = \bigcup_{N=1}^\infty K_{n+1}(N)$. Then $K_{n+1}(\infty)$ is σ-compact and the countable stability of the Hausdorff dimension implies $\dim_H K_{n+1}(\infty) \leq d - n$. Thus applying Theorem 2.2 for $X = K_{n+1}(\infty)$ and $h_i(t) = t^{d-n+1/i}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}^+$) implies that there is a gauge function $h \in \mathcal{H}_{d-n}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(K_{n+1}(\infty)) = 0$.

Assume again that $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is fixed. Then $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(K_{n+1}) = 0$, so there is an open set $U \subseteq K$ such that $K_{n+1} \subseteq U$ and $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(U) \leq 1/N$.

Finally, we define the sets $\mathcal{C}_i$ by induction. Let $\mathcal{C}_{n+1} = \{U\}$ and assume that $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ is already defined for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$K_{i+1} \subseteq V_{i+1}, \quad \text{where} \quad V_{i+1} = \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{n+1} \operatorname{int}\left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_j\right). \tag{4.10}$$

We need to define $\mathcal{C}_i$. The construction implies that $A_{i,j_1} \cap A_{i,j_2} \subseteq K_{i+1} \subseteq V_{i+1}$ for all $j_1 \neq j_2$, so the sets $\{A_{i,j} \setminus V_{i+1}\}_{j=1}^{m_i}$ are pairwise disjoint compact sets. Thus we can fix $\delta_i \in (0, 1/(4N))$ such that $\{B((A_{i,j} \setminus V_{i+1}), \delta_i)\}_{j=1}^{m_i}$ consists of pairwise disjoint compact subsets of K. Let us define

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \{C_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{m_i}, \quad \text{where} \quad C_{i,j} = B((A_{i,j} \setminus V_{i+1}), \delta_i).$$

As $\operatorname{diam} A_{i,j} \leq 1/(2N)$ and $\delta_i < 1/(4N)$, we have $\operatorname{diam} C_{i,j} \leq 1/N$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m_i\}$. As $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_i = K_i$, we obtain

$$K_i \setminus V_{i+1} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_i} (A_{i,j} \setminus V_{i+1}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_i} \operatorname{int}(C_{i,j}) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_i\right). \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore (4.10) and (4.11) imply

$$K_i \subseteq (K_i \setminus V_{i+1}) \cup V_{i+1} \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_i\right) \cup V_{i+1} = \bigcup_{j=i}^{n+1} \operatorname{int}\left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_j\right),$$

thus (4.10) holds for i. Since $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(U) \leq 1/N$ and $\operatorname{diam} C \leq 1/N$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}_i$, properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 4.6 are satisfied for the reals $\varepsilon = 1/N$. As $\mathcal{C}_{n+1} = \{U\}$ and $K_1 = K$, applying (4.10) for $i = 0$ yields

$$K = U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_i\right),$$

so property (iii) of Definition 4.6 holds, too. Hence $d \in P_{m^n}$, and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.17. *$P_{m^n} \subseteq P_{l^n}$.*

Proof. Let $d \in P_{m^n}$ and $d < \infty$. Then there is a gauge function $h \in \mathcal{H}_{d-n}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ there is a $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty$ pre-measure fat packing for $1/2^k$, say $(U^k, \mathcal{C}^k_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}^k_n)$. For all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let $\mathcal{C}^k_i = \left\{C^k_{i,j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{k,i}}$ and consider

$$\mathcal{R}(k) = \left\{ f \in C_n(K) : f\left(C^k_{i,j_1}\right) \cap f\left(C^k_{i,j_2}\right) = \emptyset,\ 1 \leq i \leq n,\ 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m_{k,i} \right\}.$$

For all $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ let

$$\mathcal{G}(N) = \bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}(N).$$

Now we prove that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq C_n(K)$ is co-meager. It is enough to prove that the sets $\mathcal{G}(N)$ are dense open. Let us fix $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$. As the sets $\mathcal{R}(k)$ are open, $\mathcal{G}(N)$ is also open. Now we prove that $\mathcal{G}(N)$ is dense. Let $g \in C_n(K)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, we show that $\mathcal{G}(N) \cap B(g, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$. Let $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$. Since g is uniformly continuous, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $A \subseteq K$ is a set with $\operatorname{diam} A \leq \delta$ then $\operatorname{diam} g(K) \leq \varepsilon/n$. Let us fix $k \geq \max\{N, 1/\delta\}$. First we prove that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists a function $f_i \colon K \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_i \in B(g_i, \varepsilon/n)$ and $f_i(C^k_{i,j_1}) \cap f_i(C^k_{i,j_2}) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m_{k,i}$. Indeed, as $\operatorname{diam} C^k_{i,j} \leq 1/2^k < 1/k \leq \delta$, we have $\operatorname{diam} g_i(C^k_{i,j}) \leq \operatorname{diam} g(C^k_{i,j}) \leq \varepsilon/n$. Thus we can define $f'_i \colon \bigcup \mathcal{C}^k_i \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f'_i is constant on each $C^k_{i,j}$ with distinct values and $f'_i \in B(g_i|_{\bigcup \mathcal{C}^k_i}, \varepsilon/n)$. Applying Tietze's Extension Theorem for f'_i yields the required f_i. Finally, let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. The construction clearly implies $f \in \mathcal{R}(k) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(N)$. As $f_i \in B(g_i, \varepsilon/n)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we obtain $f \in B(g, \varepsilon)$. Hence $\mathcal{G}$ is co-meager.

Let us define

$$G = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=i}^{\infty} U_k.$$

Then G is G_δ, and $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(U_k) \leq 1/2^k$ implies $\mathcal{H}^h_\infty(G) = 0$. Thus $h \in \mathcal{H}_{d-n}$ yields $\dim_H G \leq d - n$.

Finally, let $f \in \mathcal{G}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrarily fixed, for $y \in P_{l^n}$ we need to show $\#(f^{-1}(y) \setminus G) \leq n$. Assume to the contrary that there are distinct elements $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(y) \setminus G$. Thus there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $x_i \notin U_k$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ and $k \geq k_1$. Therefore for all $k \geq k_1$

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_i^k \right). \tag{4.12}$$

Let $\sigma = \min_{i \neq j}\{d(x_i, x_j)\}$, where $d(\cdot)$ is the metric of K. Then $f \in \mathcal{G}$ yields that there is a $k_2 > \max\{k_1, 1/\sigma\}$ such that $f \in \mathcal{R}(k_2)$. By (4.12) there exists distinct points $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2} \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}_{i_3}^{k_2}$ for some $i_3 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus $x_{i_1} \in C_{i_3,j_1}^{k_2}$ and $x_{i_2} \in C_{i_3,j_2}^{k_2}$ for some $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, m_{k_2,i_3}\}$. As

$$d(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}) \geq \sigma > 1/k_2 > 1/2^{k_2} \geq \operatorname{diam} C_{i_3,j_1}^{k_2},$$

we obtain $j_1 \neq j_2$. Then $y = f(x_{i_1}) = f(x_{i_2})$ implies $f\left(C_{i_3,j_1}^{k_2}\right) \cap f\left(C_{i_3,j_2}^{k_2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, but this contradicts $f \in \mathcal{R}(k_2)$. Thus $y \in P_{l^n}$. □

Lemma 4.18. *$P_{l^n} \subseteq P_{w^n}$.*

Proof. Assume $d \in P_{l^n}$ and $d < \infty$. By the definition of P_{l^n}, for the generic continuous function $f\colon K \to \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \leq d - n$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_n(d)$ is co-meager, thus (everywhere) dense. Hence $d \in P_{w^n}$. □

Lemma 4.19. *$P_{w^n} \subseteq P_{s^nH}$.*

Proof. Assume $d \in P_{w^n}$ and $d < \infty$. Then we can fix $f \in C_n(K)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{N}_n(d)$ is dense in $B(f, \varepsilon)$. The uniform continuity of f implies that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $A \subseteq K$ with $\operatorname{diam} A \leq \delta$ then $\operatorname{diam} f(A) < \varepsilon/n$.

Now we prove that there is a compact set $C \subseteq K$ such that $\operatorname{diam} C \leq \delta$ and $P_{s^nH}(C) = P_{s^nH}(K)$. Let us write K as a union of finitely many compact sets with diameter at most δ. Theorem 3.9 implies that this union has a compact member $C \subseteq K$ such that $\dim_{t^nH} C = \dim_{t^nH} K$, and $\operatorname{diam} C \leq \delta$ by definition. Corollary 4.14 and Lemma 4.15 yield that $\dim_{t^nH} C = \dim_{s^nH} C = \min P_{s^nH}(C)$ and similarly $\dim_{t^nH} K = \dim_{s^nH} K = \min P_{s^nH}(K)$. Thus $\min P_{s^nH}(C) = \min P_{s^nH}(K)$. Hence $P_{s^nH}(C) = P_{s^nH}(K)$, because both sets are of the form $[r, \infty]$.

Finally, it is enough to show $d \in P_{s^nH}(C)$. Let $(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_n, B_n)$ be arbitrary pairs of disjoint closed subsets of C. We will show that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there are partitions $L_i \subseteq C$ between A_i and B_i such that $\dim_H \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} L_i\right) \leq d - n$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_1(K)$ be such that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and observe that we may construct for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ functions $g_i \in C_1(K)$ such that

(1) $\max g_i(A_i) < \min g_i(B_i)$;
(2) $g_i \in B(f_i, \varepsilon/n)$;
(3) The function $g \in C_n(K)$ defined by $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is such that $g \in \mathcal{N}_n(d)$.

Indeed, as $\operatorname{diam} f_i(C) \leq \operatorname{diam} f(C) < \varepsilon/n$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we can define g_i first on $A_i \cup B_i$ and then we can extend it to K by Tietze's Extension Theorem such that (1) and (2) hold. Property (2) implies that $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in B(f, \varepsilon)$. As $g \in B(f, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{N}_n(d)$ is dense in $B(f, \varepsilon)$, we may assume $g \in \mathcal{N}_n(d)$, so (3) is satisfied.

As S_g is dense in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we can choose $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S_g$ such that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ its ith coordinate satisfies $\max g_i(A_i) < s_i < \min g_i(B_i)$. Let us define for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$S_i = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in g(K) : x_i = s_i\},$$

then (1) implies that S_i is a partition between $g(A_i)$ and $g(B_i)$ in $g(K)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let us define $L_i = (g|_C)^{-1}(S_i)$. Then L_i is a partition between A_i and B_i in C such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} L_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (g|_C)^{-1}(S_i) = (g|_C)^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S_i\right) = (g|_C)^{-1}(s) \subseteq g^{-1}(s).$$

Therefore $g \in \mathcal{N}_n(d)$ and $s \in S_g$ imply

$$\dim_H \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} L_i\right) \leq \dim_H g^{-1}(s) \leq d - n,$$

thus $d \in P_{s^n H}(C)$. The proof is complete. $\square$

The above lemmas yield the following theorem.

Theorem 4.20. *If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K \geq n$ then*

$$P_{s^n H} = P_{c^n H} = P_{t^n H} = P_{m^n} = P_{l^n} = P_{w^n}.$$

Remark 4.21. We do not use explicitly Lemma 4.12 to deduce Theorem 4.20, but it is necessary, because in the proof of Lemma 4.19 we use Corollary 4.14, which is based on Lemma 4.12.

Corollary 4.22. *If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K \geq n$ then*

$$\dim_{s^n H} K = \dim_{c^n H} K = \dim_{t^n H} K = \dim_{m^n} K = \dim_{l^n} K = \dim_{w^n} K.$$

Lemma 4.15 and Theorem 4.20 imply the following lemma.

Lemma 4.23. *Let K be a compact metric space such that $\dim_t K \geq n$. Then $\dim_{s^n H} K = \min P_{s^n H}$, $\dim_{c^n H} K = \min P_{c^n H}$, $\dim_{t^n H} K = \min P_{t^n H}$, $\dim_{m^n} K = \min P_{m^n}$, $\dim_{l^n} K = \min P_{l^n}$ and $\dim_{w^n} K = \min P_{w^n}$.*

Now we are ready to prove the Main Theorem.

Theorem 4.24 (Main Theorem)**.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and assume that K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K \geq n$. Then there exists a G_δ set $G \subseteq K$ with $\dim_H G = \dim_{t^n H} K - n$ such that for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$*

- *(i) $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \leq \dim_{t^n H} K - n$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, $\#(f^{-1}(y) \setminus G) \leq n$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*
- *(ii) for every $d < \dim_{t^n H} K$ there exists a non-empty open ball $U_{f,d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \geq d - n$ for every $y \in U_{f,d}$.*

Proof. Lemma 4.23 and Theorem 4.20 imply that $\dim_{t^n H} K = \min P_{t^n H} = \min P_{l^n}$. Thus $\dim_{t^n H} K \in P_{l^n}$ yields that there exists a G_δ set $G \subseteq K$ with $\dim_H G \leq \dim_{t^n H} K - n$ such that $\#(f^{-1}(y) \setminus G) \leq n$ for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\dim_{t^n H} K = \min P_{l^n}$ implies $\dim_H G \geq \dim_{t^n H} K - n$. Hence (i) holds.

Let us now prove (ii). Let us choose a sequence $d_k \nearrow \dim_{t^n H} K$. Corollary 4.22 implies that $d_k < \dim_{t^n H} K = \dim_{w^n} K$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_n(d_k)$ is nowhere dense by the definition of $\dim_{w^n} K$. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{N}_n(d)$ that for every $f \in C_n(K) \setminus \mathcal{N}_n(d_k)$ there exists a non-empty open ball $U_{f,d_k} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

such that $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \geq d_k - n$ for every $y \in U_{f,d_k}$. But then *(ii)* holds for every $f \in C_n(K) \setminus (\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} \mathcal{N}_n(d_k))$, and this latter set is clearly co-meager, which concludes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 4.25. *If K is a compact metric space with $\dim_t K \geq n$ then for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$*

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} \dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^n H} K - n.$$

5. Strengthening of the Main Theorem

The proofs of this section are more or less analogous to the proof of the one-dimensional results in [2], so we only describe the necessary modifications.

Let K be a compact metric space and let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ be fixed. If $\dim_t K < n$ then the fibers of the generic map $f \in C_n(K)$ are finite, see Theorem 4.1.

Thus we assume $\dim_t K \geq n$ in the sequel.

5.1. **Level sets of maximal dimension.**

Corollary 4.25 states that if $\dim_t K \geq n$ then for the generic map $f \in C_n(K)$ we have $\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} \dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^n H} K - n$. We show that in this statement the supremum is attained.

Theorem 5.1. *Let K be a compact metric space with $\dim_t K \geq n$. Then for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$*

$$\max_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} \dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^n H} K - n.$$

Proof. Z. Buczolich, M. Elekes and the author proved this theorem in case $n = 1$, see [2, Thm. 4.1.]. Let us follow this proof with the obvious changes. The only significant modification is that we need to apply the more general Lemma 5.2 instead of its one-dimensional special case [2, Lemma 2.14.]. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let K be a compact metric space with a fixed $x_0 \in K$. Let $K_m \subseteq K$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be compact sets such that*

- *(i)* $\dim_t K_m \geq n$ *for all* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and*
- *(ii)* $\operatorname{diam}(K_m \cup \{x_0\}) \to 0$ *if* $m \to \infty$.

Then for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ we have $f(x_0) \in f(K_m)$ for infinitely many $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Clearly it is enough to show that the sets

$$\mathcal{F}_M = \{f \in C_n(K) : f(x_0) \notin f(K_m) \text{ for all } m \geq M\}$$

are nowhere dense in $C_n(K)$ for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f_0 \in C_n(K)$ and $r > 0$ be arbitrary, it is enough to find a ball in $B(f_0, 3r) \setminus \mathcal{F}_M$. We can fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by *(ii)* such that $m \geq M$ and $\operatorname{diam} f_0 (K_m \cup \{x_0\}) \leq r$. As $\dim_t K_m \geq n$, [7, Thm. VI 2.] states that there exists a continuous map $g_0 \colon K_m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with a stable value, that is the following. There exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $g \in B(g_0, 2\varepsilon)$ we have $y \in g(K_m)$. Then clearly $B(y, \varepsilon) \subseteq g(K_m)$ for all $g \in B(g_0, \varepsilon)$. We may assume by applying an affine transformation that $y = f_0(x_0)$ and $g_0(K_m) \subseteq B(f_0(x_0), r)$, thus $\varepsilon \leq r$. Then $\operatorname{diam} f_0 (K_m \cup \{x_0\}) \leq r$ and Tietze's Extension Theorem imply that there is an $f_1 \in B(f_0, 2r)$ such that $f_1(x_0) = f_0(x_0)$ and $f_1|_{K_m} = g_0$. Then for all $f \in B(f_1, \varepsilon)$ we have $f(x_0) \in B(f_1(x_0), \varepsilon) = B(y, \varepsilon)$ and the definitions of y, ε and f_1 imply $B(y, \varepsilon) \subseteq f(K_m)$. Hence $f(x_0) \in f(K_m)$, so $f \notin \mathcal{F}_M$. Therefore $\varepsilon \leq r$ implies $B(f_1, \varepsilon) \subseteq B(f_0, 3r) \setminus \mathcal{F}_M$. □

Remark 5.3. Z. Buczolich, M. Elekes and the author showed in [2] that there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the generic $f \in C_1(K)$ has a unique level set of maximal Hausdorff dimension. Therefore we cannot strengthen Theorem 5.1 in general.

5.2. **Level sets on fractals.** In these subsection we prove that if K is sufficiently homogenous then we can improve the Main Theorem.

Definition 5.4. If K is a compact metric space then let

$$\operatorname{supp}_n K = \{x \in K : \forall r > 0,\ \dim_{t^n H} B(x,r) = \dim_{t^n H} K\}.$$

We say that K is *homogeneous for the* n*th inductive topological Hausdorff dimension* if $\operatorname{supp}_n K = K$.

Remark 5.5. The stability of the nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension for closed sets clearly yields $\operatorname{supp}_n K \neq \emptyset$. Corollary 3.8 implies that if K is self-similar then it is also homogeneous for the nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension.

Theorem 5.6. *Let* K *be a compact metric space with* $\dim_t K \geq n$*. The following statements are equivalent.*

- *(i) For the generic* $f \in C_n(K)$ *for the generic* $y \in f(K)$ *we have* $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^n H} K - n$*.*
- *(ii)* K *is homogeneous for the* n*th inductive topological Hausdorff dimension.*

Proof. The proof of [2, Thm. 3.3.] works with the obvious modifications. (Let us note that the half of the above theorem is actually [1, Thm. 6.22.].) □

Now we generalize Kirchheim's theorem for weakly self-similar metric spaces.

Definition 5.7. Let K be a compact metric space. We say that K is *weakly self-similar* if for all $x \in K$ and $r > 0$ there exist a compact set $K_{x,r} \subseteq B(x,r)$ and a bi-Lipschitz map $\phi_{x,r} \colon K_{x,r} \to K$.

Remark 5.8. If K is self-similar then it is also weakly self-similar. If K is weakly self-similar then Corollary 3.8 yields that it is homogeneous for the nth inductive topological Hausdorff dimension.

Theorem 5.9. *Let* K *be a weakly self-similar compact metric space such that* $\dim_t K \geq n$*. Then for the generic* $f \in C_n(K)$ *for any* $y \in \operatorname{int} f(K)$

$$\dim_H f^{-1}(y) = \dim_{t^n H} K - n.$$

Before proving Theorem 5.9 we need a definition and a lemma. We will follow basically [2, Thm. 3.6.], but Lemma 5.11 is not even similar to [2, Lemma 2.12.] and their applications are also different.

Definition 5.10. Let K be a compact metric space and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. For all $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ consider

$$\mathcal{D}_m = \{f \in C_n(K) : \exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall g \in B(f,\varepsilon), \forall y \in g(K) \setminus B(\partial g(K), 1/m), y \in f(K)\}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{D}_m$ then let us fix $\varepsilon(f,m) > 0$ according to the definition of $\mathcal{D}_m$.

Lemma 5.11. *Let* K *be a compact metric space such that* $B(x,r)$ *is uncountable for all* $x \in K$ *and* $r > 0$*. If* $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ *then* $\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{D}_m(K,n)$ *is dense in* $C_n(K)$*.*

Proof of Lemma 5.11. Let $f_0 \in C_n(K)$ and $r > 0$ be given, we need to show that $\mathcal{D}_m \cap B(f_0, 3r) \neq \emptyset$. Since K is compact and f_0 is uniformly continuous, there are finitely many distinct $x_1, ..., x_k \in K$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B(x_i, \delta) \tag{5.1}$$

and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$

$$f_0(B(x_i, \delta)) \subseteq B(f_0(x_i), r/n). \tag{5.2}$$

Choose $0 < \delta' < \delta$ such that the balls $B(x_i, \delta')$ are disjoint. As the balls $B(x_i, \delta'/2)$ are uncountable, there is a set $C_i \subseteq B(x_i, \delta'/2)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that C_i is homeomorphic to the triadic Cantor set, see [8, 6.5. Corollary].

Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $d > 0$ let $Q(y, d)$ be the n-cube with center y and edge length d that is homothetic to $[0, 1]^n$. For all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ let us select $d_i \in [2r/n, 3r/n]$ such that the $2nk$ many hyperplanes determined by the faces of the cubes $Q_i = Q(f_0(x_i), d_i)$ are distinct. For all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let us denote by $\mathcal{S}_j$ the set of those hyperplanes according to these cubes that are orthogonal to e_j. Set

$$\theta = \min \{\operatorname{dist}(S, S') : S, S' \in \mathcal{S}_j,\ S \neq S',\ 1 \leq j \leq n\} > 0.$$

Now we construct a map $f \in B(f_0, 3r)$ such that $f(B(x_i, \delta)) = Q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. As C_i is homeomorphic to the Cantor set, there are continuous onto maps $g_i \colon C_i \to Q_i$ by [8, 4.18. Thm.]. Then $d_i \geq 2r/n$ and (5.2) imply $f_0(B(x_i, \delta)) \subseteq Q_i$, so applying Tietze's Extension Theorem for the coordinate functions yields that there are functions $\widehat{g}_i \colon B(x_i, \delta) \to Q_i$ such that $\widehat{g}_i = g_i$ on C_i and $\widehat{g}_i = f_0$ on $B(x_i, \delta) \setminus U(x_i, \delta')$. Let $f(x) = \widehat{g}_i(x)$ for all $x \in B(x_i, \delta)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then (5.1) implies that f is defined for all $x \in K$. The construction easily yields that $f \in C_n(K)$ is well-defined and $f(B(x_i, \delta)) = Q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Since $f_0(B(x_i, \delta)) \cup f(B(x_i, \delta)) \subseteq Q_i$ and $\operatorname{diam} Q_i = \sqrt{n} d_i \leq \sqrt{n} 3r/n \leq 3r$, we obtain that $f \in B(f_0, 3r)$.

Finally, we prove that $\varepsilon = \min\{\theta/4, 1/(2mn)\}$ witnesses $f \in \mathcal{D}_m$. Let $g \in B(f, \varepsilon)$ and $y \in g(K) \setminus B(\partial g(K), 1/m)$, and assume to the contrary that $y \notin f(K)$. The definition of θ and $2\varepsilon \leq \theta/2$ and $y \notin f(K)$ imply that for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists $c_j \in [-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon]$ such that $y + c_j e_j \notin f(K)$ and

$$\min\{\operatorname{dist}(\{y + c_j e_j\}, S) : S \in \mathcal{S}_j\} \geq 2\varepsilon.$$

Let $y' = y + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j e_j$, then $y' \notin f(K)$ and

$$\min\{\operatorname{dist}(\{y'\}, S) : S \in \mathcal{S}_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n\} \geq 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $f(K) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Q_i$ implies

$$\operatorname{dist}(\{y'\}, f(K)) \geq 2\varepsilon. \tag{5.3}$$

Then $y \in g(K) \setminus B\left(\partial g(K), 1/m\right)$ yields $B(y, 1/m) \subseteq g(K)$ and we have $|y' - y| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j^2} \leq 2\varepsilon\sqrt{n} \leq 1/m$. Hence $y' \in g(K)$. Choose $x \in K$ such that $g(x) = y'$, then $g \in B(f, \varepsilon)$ yields $|f(x) - y'| = |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \varepsilon$, but this contradicts (5.3). Thus $\mathcal{D}_m \cap B(f_0, 3r) \neq \emptyset$, and the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 5.9. The Main Theorem implies that for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \leq \dim_{t^n H} K - n$, thus we only need to verify the opposite inequality.

Let us choose a sequence $n - 1 < d_m \nearrow \dim_{t^n H} K$ and let us fix $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$. The Main Theorem implies that for the generic $f \in C_n(K)$ there exists a non-empty open set $U_{f,d_m} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\dim_H f^{-1}(y) \geq d_m - n$ for all $y \in U_{f,d_m}$.

By Baire's Category Theorem there are $0 < r_1 < r_2$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_m = \{f \in C_n(K) : f(K) \subseteq B(y_0, r_2),\ \forall y \in B(y_0, r_1),\ \dim_H f^{-1}(y) \geq d_m - n\}$$

is of second category. Note that $d_m > n - 1$ implies that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_m$ we have $B(y_0, r_1) \subseteq f(K)$. Let us also define the following set.

$$\mathcal{G}_m = \left\{f \in C_n(K) : \forall y \in f(K) \setminus B(\partial f(K), 1/m),\ \dim_H f^{-1}(y) \geq d_m - n\right\}.$$

Following the proof of [2, Lemma 3.7.] we obtain that $\mathcal{H}_m$ and $\mathcal{G}_m$ have the Baire property.

It is sufficient to verify that $\mathcal{G}_m$ is co-meager, since by taking the intersection of the sets $\mathcal{G}_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ we obtain the desired co-meager set in $C_n(K)$. In order to prove this we show that $\mathcal{G}_m$ contains 'certain copies' of $\mathcal{H}_m$. Since $\mathcal{G}_m$ has the Baire property, it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{G}_m$ is of second category in every non-empty open subset of $C_n(K)$. As $\dim_t K \geq n$, we obtain that K is uncountable, and the weak self-similarity of K yields that $B(x, r)$ is uncountable for all $x \in K$ and $r > 0$. Hence we may apply Lemma 5.11. Let us fix an arbitrary $f_0 \in \mathcal{D}_m$ and let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(f_0, m)$, see Definition 5.10. As $\mathcal{D}_m$ is dense in $C_n(K)$ by Lemma 5.11, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{G}_m \cap B(f_0, \varepsilon)$ is of second category.

Since K is compact and f_0 is uniformly continuous, there are finitely many distinct $x_1, ..., x_k \in K$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B(x_i, \delta) \tag{5.4}$$

and for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ the oscillation of f_0 on $B(x_i, \delta)$ is less than

$$\omega = \frac{\varepsilon r_1}{2 r_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{5.5}$$

Choose $0 < \delta' < \delta$ such that the balls $B(x_i, \delta')$ are disjoint. Using the weak self-similarity property we can choose for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ a set $K_i \subseteq B(x_i, \delta')$ and a bi-Lipschitz map $\phi_i \colon K_i \to K$. Let us fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. We define the affine function $\psi_i \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\psi_i \left(B(y_0, r_1)\right) = B(f_0(x_i), \omega). \tag{5.6}$$

Let $G_i \colon C_n(K) \to C_n(K_i)$ defined by $G_i(f) = \psi_i \circ f \circ \phi_i$. The maps $\phi_i \colon K_i \to K$ and $\psi_i \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are homeomorphisms, hence G_i is a homeomorphism, too. Therefore, since $\mathcal{H}_m$ is of second category in $C_n(K)$, we obtain that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_i = \{\psi_i \circ f \circ \phi_i : f \in \mathcal{H}_m\} = G_i(\mathcal{H}_m)$$

is of second category in $C_n(K_i)$.

Now we prove that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_i \subseteq B\left(f_0|_{K_i}, \varepsilon\right)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_m$, then the form of ψ_i, (5.6) and (5.5) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{diam}(\psi_i \circ f \circ \phi_i)(K_i) &= \operatorname{diam} \psi_i(f(K)) \leq \operatorname{diam} \psi_i\left(B(y_0, r_2)\right) \\ &= \frac{r_2}{r_1} \operatorname{diam} \psi_i\left(B(y_0, r_1)\right) = \frac{r_2}{r_1} 2\omega = \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Then $f_0(K_i) \subseteq B(f_0(x_i), \omega) \subseteq (\psi_i \circ f \circ \phi_i)(K_i)$, so $\psi_i \circ f \circ \phi_i \in B(f_0|_{K_i}, \varepsilon)$.

Set

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \left\{ f \in B(f_0, \varepsilon) : f|_{K_i} \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_i \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{F}_i.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{F} \subseteq B(f_0, \varepsilon)$, and repeating the proof of [2, Lemma 3.8.] verbatim, we obtain that $\mathcal{F}$ is of second category in $B(f_0, \varepsilon)$.

Therefore it is enough to prove $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_m$, this will imply that $\mathcal{G}_m$ is of second category in $B(f_0, \varepsilon)$. Assume that $g \in \mathcal{F}$ and $y \in g(K) \setminus B(\partial g(K), 1/m)$. Then $g \in B(f_0, \varepsilon)$ and the definition of $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(f_0, m)$ yield $y \in f_0(K)$. Hence the definition of ω and (5.4) imply that there is an $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $y \in B(f_0(x_i), \omega)$. The definition of $\mathcal{F}$ yields that there exists an $f \in \mathcal{H}_m$ such that $g|_{K_i} = \psi_i \circ f \circ \phi_i$. Then (5.6) implies $\psi_i^{-1}(y) \in B(y_0, r_1)$, and $f \in \mathcal{H}_m$ yields $\dim_H f^{-1}\left(\psi_i^{-1}(y)\right) \geq d_m - n$. By the bi-Lipschitz property of ϕ_i we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_H g^{-1}(y) &\geq \dim_H (g|_{K_i})^{-1}(y) \\ &= \dim_H \phi_i^{-1}\left(f^{-1}\left(\psi_i^{-1}(y)\right)\right) \\ &= \dim_H f^{-1}\left(\psi_i^{-1}(y)\right) \\ &\geq d_m - n.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $g \in \mathcal{G}_m$, and hence $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_m$. This completes the proof. □

6. OPEN QUESTIONS

Let X be a metric space and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. One can define $\dim_{s^n H} X$ and $\dim_{c^n H} X$ as in Definition 4.3 and Definition 4.4. The fact that the different notions of topological dimension are all equivalent for separable metric spaces, motivates the following question.

Question 6.1. *Let X be a separable metric space and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Does it hold*

$$\dim_{s^n H} X = \dim_{c^n H} X = \dim_{t^n H} X?$$

We can define the nth inductive topological packing dimension of X analogously to $\dim_{t^n H} X$ in the obvious way.

Question 6.2. *Let K be a compact metric space and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. What can we say about the packing dimension of the fibers of the generic $f \in C_n(K)$? Is the nth inductive topological packing dimension the right notion to describe it?*

Acknowledgement The author is indebted to U. B. Darji and M. Elekes for some helpful remarks.

References

[1] R. Balka, Z. Buczolich, M. Elekes, A new fractal dimension: The topological Hausdorff dimension, submitted, arXiv:1108.4292.

[2] R. Balka, Z. Buczolich, M. Elekes, Topological Hausdorff dimension and level sets of generic continuous functions on fractals, to appear in *Chaos Solitons Fractals.*

[3] U. B. Darji, M. Elekes, private communication, 2012.

[4] R. Engelking, *Dimension Theory*, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1978.

[5] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag, 1989.

[6] K. Falconer, *Fractal geometry: Mathematical foundations and applications*, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 2003.

[7] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton University Press, 1948.

[8] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[9] B. Kirchheim, Hausdorff measure and level sets of typical continuous mappings in Euclidean spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), no. 5, 1763–1777.

[10] P. Mattila, *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics No. 44, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[11] K. Kuratowski, *Topology II*, Academic Press, 1968.

[12] C. A. Rogers, *Hausdorff measures*, Cambridge University Press, 1970.

[13] C. A. Rogers and S. J. Taylor, Additive set functions in Euclidean space II, *Acta Math.* **109** (1963), 207–240.

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, PO Box 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary
E-mail address: `balka.richard@renyi.mta.hu`